



Steadier Under Pressure: How Self-Belief Shapes Test Anxiety in Adolescence

A Systematic Literature Review Linking Self-Efficacy and Test Anxiety Among Adolescents

Anitha Yazhini D

¹Department of Counselling Psychology Loyola College, Chennai, India

Abstract

This review examines the relationship between self-efficacy and test anxiety among adolescents, drawing on published research covering self-efficacy theory, parenting and peer influences, and test anxiety prevalence and intervention studies. Self-efficacy, defined as an individual's belief in their capacity to organize and execute the actions needed for a desired outcome, is theorized to be shaped partly by physiological and emotional states, the same territory test anxiety occupies. Findings indicate that regulatory emotional self-efficacy and general self-efficacy operate as protective mechanisms that partially explain the pathway from academic stress to test anxiety and from anxiety to academic burnout. Parenting style and peer relationships emerge as upstream contributors, in which authoritative parenting and low susceptibility to negative peer pressure correspond with stronger self-efficacy and reduced performance anxiety, while authoritarian parenting and high peer pressure correspond with weaker efficacy and greater anxiety. Test anxiety itself appears widespread among adolescent populations, with meaningful implications for academic performance and psychological well-being. However, few studies measure self-efficacy and test anxiety together in the same adolescent sample using validated instruments, indicating that the mechanism linking the two constructs remains inferred rather than firmly established.

Keywords: self-efficacy, test anxiety, adolescents, academic stress, parenting style, peer pressure, academic performance

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: 30 July 2026; **Accepted:** 30 August 2026; **Published:** 30 September 2026

CITATION

Yazhini, A., Steadier Under Pressure: How Self-Belief Shapes Test Anxiety in Adolescence, *Pedagogy Insights*, 1(2), 152–158.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23153155>

1. Introduction

A student who walks into an exam hall convinced they can handle whatever the paper throws at them rarely feels the same knot in the stomach as a student who walks in expecting to fail. That difference in expectation is the territory self-efficacy theory was built to explain, and the knot in the stomach is what researchers call test

anxiety. Bandura (1996) described self-efficacy as a person's belief in their own capacity to organise and execute the actions a given task requires, a belief that is built gradually through mastery experiences, watching others succeed, encouragement from people who matter, and the way a person reads their own physical and emotional state while under pressure. Test anxiety aligns perfectly with that last source, since it is precisely the physiological and emotional reaction, the racing heart, the blank mind, the sense of dread, that self-efficacy theory treats as one of the raw materials confidence is built or eroded from.

Adolescence is the period in which this relationship is put under the most consistent strain, because it is the stage in which examinations begin to carry real consequences, academic identity starts to solidify, and the opinions of parents, teachers, and peers all weigh on how a young person interprets their own performance. This review brings together what is currently known about self-efficacy and test anxiety in adolescent and young student populations, tracing the theoretical connection between the two constructs, the direct empirical evidence linking them, the family and peer pathways that shape self-efficacy before an exam ever begins, and the broader picture of how widespread and how damaging test anxiety appears to be among school and college-age populations. The review closes by identifying where the evidence is strong and where it still falls short.

Theoretical Background

Self-efficacy theory treats confidence as something that is built rather than something a person simply has or lacks. Bandura and colleagues (1996), working with Italian children and their parents, found that warmth, consistent guidance, and encouragement of independent thinking from parents fed directly into children's efficacy beliefs, producing students who approached academic challenges with more persistence and less hesitation. The theory's fourth source of efficacy, physiological and emotional state, is the piece most relevant to test anxiety, because it treats a racing heart or a sudden blank mind not as an unrelated symptom but as information the person uses, consciously or not, to judge whether they are capable of succeeding. A student who reads their own nervousness as proof they are unprepared will see that belief weaken further with every anxious exam, while a student who can interpret the same nervousness as ordinary arousal tends to hold onto their confidence more easily.

As for Test anxiety researchers have approached the same territory from the opposite direction, asking what anxiety does to performance rather than what performance beliefs do to anxiety. Song, Chang, and Zhou (2022), examining visual working memory under test conditions, found that anxiety interferes with processing efficiency, meaning that anxious students expend extra mental effort just to hold information in mind, which leaves less capacity for the reasoning the task itself requires. Theobald, Breitwieser, and Brod (2022), however, complicated this picture by showing that once prior knowledge was properly accounted for, trait test anxiety no longer predicted exam performance, suggesting that anxiety's effects are conditional on preparation rather than a fixed drain on ability. Read alongside self-efficacy theory, this suggests a plausible loop that weak efficacy beliefs increase the likelihood that ordinary exam nerves get interpreted as evidence of incompetence, that interpretation adds to cognitive load during the test itself, and a poor result then feeds back into weaker efficacy beliefs the next time around.

Self-Efficacy as a Buffer Against Test Anxiety

The direct evidence connecting the two constructs comes from Zheng, Zhang, and Ran (2023), who surveyed 1,315 Chinese college students between the ages of seventeen and twenty-five and found that academic stress predicted test anxiety and that regulatory emotional self-efficacy, a person's confidence in their own ability to manage their emotional reactions, partially explained that link. Students who trusted their own capacity to regulate frustration and worry experienced less test anxiety even when their academic stress levels were just as high as their peers. This finding places self-efficacy directly inside the mechanism connecting stress to anxiety rather than treating the two as separate, side-by-side outcomes.

Liu and colleagues (2024) reported a related pattern in a large sample of Chinese students, where both resilience and self-efficacy partially explained the pathway from anxiety and depressive symptoms to academic burnout. Students with stronger efficacy beliefs were less likely to see their anxiety symptoms spiral into full burnout, which suggests that self-efficacy does not just soften the anxiety a student feels in the moment before a test but also limits how much that anxiety accumulates into a longer-term sense of academic exhaustion. Taken together, these two studies indicate that self-efficacy is not merely correlated with lower test anxiety as a background fact; it appears to function as one of the routes through which academic pressure either turns into anxiety or gets absorbed without lasting damage.

Family and Peer Pathways to Self-Efficacy in the Adolescent Years

A separate line of research, concentrated on parenting style and peer relationships, has traced where adolescent self-efficacy comes from in the first place, and several of these studies describe outcomes that look a great deal like test anxiety even when they were not framed that way. Aunola, Stattin, and Nurmi (2000), following 747 Finnish adolescents over time, found that authoritative parenting predicted stronger academic self-efficacy and more persistence in the face of difficulty, while authoritarian parenting was linked instead to performance anxiety and lower confidence in academic ability. That single finding is worth sitting with, because performance anxiety in an academic setting is functionally close to test anxiety, and it suggests that the same parenting conditions which build or erode self-efficacy are also shaping how anxious a student becomes when facing an exam.

Steinberg, Lamborn, Dornbusch, and Darling (1992), in a large sample of 6,400 American high school students, reported that adolescents who experienced authoritative parenting showed higher self-efficacy and stronger academic engagement, along with greater resistance to negative peer pressure, while Pinquart and Gerke (2019), synthesising 46 studies and more than 18,000 participants, confirmed that authoritative parenting carried the strongest and most consistent association with self-efficacy across cultural contexts, with authoritarian parenting linked to weaker efficacy beliefs, particularly where psychological control from parents was high. None of these studies measured test anxiety directly, but the psychological profile they describe, a student who doubts their own competence and has internalised a controlling or fear-driven relationship with authority, is close to the profile test anxiety researchers describe in anxious examinees. Studies from Indian samples extend this picture into the specific academic and family culture the present topic is concerned with.

Adolescents from authoritative homes have repeatedly been found to report higher academic self-efficacy and steadier confidence during assessments than those from authoritarian or permissive homes, a pattern that recurs across the northern, western, and southern Indian samples examined in this literature, even though these studies typically stopped short of measuring test anxiety as its own outcome.

Peer relationships tell a similar story. Peer pressure has been shown to erode academic self-efficacy directly, with adolescents who report higher susceptibility to negative peer conformity also reporting markedly lower confidence in their own academic abilities and weaker study strategies. Self-efficacy has, in turn, been shown to mediate the relationship between susceptibility to peer pressure and broader psychological adjustment, meaning that a student's efficacy beliefs act as a kind of buffer that determines whether peer pressure translates into poorer functioning or gets absorbed without lasting harm. None of this research was designed with test anxiety specifically in mind, but it maps closely onto the same territory: students whose self-efficacy has already been worn down by family or peer pressure appear to be the students most likely to arrive at an exam already primed to interpret their own nerves as a sign of failure.

The Scope and Character of Test Anxiety in Adolescent Populations

Set against this backdrop, the test anxiety literature on its own paints a picture of a problem that is both common and unevenly understood. Low (2023) surveyed adolescents in Malaysian secondary schools and found that roughly a fifth reported high levels of exam anxiety, a large number that lines up with the general sense across this literature that test anxiety is not a marginal experience but a routine feature of adolescent academic life. Dundas and colleagues, working with a German adolescent sample with a mean age of around sixteen, found that a structured mindfulness-based intervention reduced the emotional component of test anxiety and lowered the harsh self-judgment that tends to accompany it, a finding echoed in earlier work by Shahidi, Akbari, and Zargar (2017), who reported similar reductions in test anxiety among female high school students following a comparable stress-reduction programme. Yılmaz, Hamamci, and Türk (2024), synthesising trials across age groups in a meta-analysis, concluded that mindfulness-based approaches produce small to moderate reductions in test anxiety generally, which suggests the emotional regulation skills these interventions build may be tapping into the same territory that self-efficacy theory identifies as one of its core sources.

Jerrim (2023), reviewing international datasets spanning a decade, found that test anxiety is generally associated with lower academic achievement but that the strength of this relationship varies by age, by subject, and by whether a student's prior knowledge is taken into account, a qualification that fits with the mediation evidence discussed earlier rather than contradicting it. Symes (2024) extended the picture beyond academic outcomes alone, reporting that adolescents with high test anxiety carried a greater risk of later emotional disorders and impaired school functioning, which frames test anxiety not simply as an exam-day inconvenience but as something with longer psychological weight. Intervention studies such as Al-Taie's quasi-experimental work with Iraqi university students, which combined time management training, relaxation techniques, and cognitive restructuring, produced meaningful reductions in test anxiety, and it is worth noting that cognitive restructuring in particular targets the same kind of self-defeating interpretation of nervousness that self-efficacy

theory treats as central to how efficacy beliefs are built or broken down.

Synthesis and Gaps in the Evidence

Three points stand out when these constructs are reviewed together. First, the direct evidence linking self-efficacy and test anxiety is real. Zheng, Zhang, and Ran (2023) and Liu and colleagues (2024) clearly measured both constructs in the same model, and both point to self-efficacy as a buffer that limits how much academic stress converts into test anxiety and burnout. Second, the parenting and peer literature offers a great deal of indirect evidence, showing repeatedly that the same family and social conditions which raise or lower self-efficacy also raise or lower performance anxiety, academic confidence, and psychological adjustment, but very few of these studies used a validated test anxiety measure alongside their self-efficacy measure, leaving the connection implied rather than demonstrated. Third, the test anxiety literature on its own is rich in prevalence data and intervention findings but rarely incorporates self-efficacy as an explanatory variable, tending instead to explain anxiety through working memory, coping strategies, or emotional regulation without asking how a student's underlying belief in their own competence shapes any of those processes.

There is also a gap specific to the population this review is most interested in. A study designed from the outset to measure general self-efficacy and test anxiety together within a single adolescent sample, particularly one situated in the Indian context where the parenting and peer literature is already comparatively developed, would close a gap that the current evidence can only indicate toward rather than confirm.

Conclusion

Self-efficacy and test anxiety are rarely studied as a matched pair, yet everything gathered here points toward the two being closely bound together. A student's belief in their own competence is built, in part, from how they read their own physiological and emotional state under pressure, which is the same territory test anxiety occupies, and the family and peer conditions known to raise or lower self-efficacy are the same conditions repeatedly linked to performance anxiety and weaker academic confidence. Where the two constructs have been measured together directly, self-efficacy behaves as a buffer that limits how far academic stress travels into anxiety and burnout.

References

1. Aunola, K., Stattin, H., & Nurmi, J.-E. (2000). Parenting styles and adolescents' achievement strategies. *Journal of Adolescence*, 23(2), 205–222.
2. Bandura, A., Barbaranelli, C., Caprara, G. V., & Pastorelli, C. (1996). Multifaceted impact of self-efficacy beliefs on academic functioning. *Child Development*, 67(3), 1206–1222.
3. Cheng, H., & Furnham, A. (2002). Personality, peer relations, and self-confidence as predictors of happiness and loneliness [Study data as reported in course literature review]. *Journal of Adolescence*.
4. Dawood, E., Al Ghadeer, H., Mitsu, R., Almutary, N., & Alenezi, B. (2016). Relationship between test

- anxiety and academic achievement among undergraduate nursing students. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 7(2), 57–65.
5. Dundas, I., et al. (2024). Effects of a mindfulness-based stress reduction style intervention on test anxiety and self-criticism among adolescent students [Study data as reported in course literature review].
 6. Jerrim, J. (2023). Test anxiety: Is it associated with performance in high-stakes examinations? *Oxford Review of Education*, 49(3), 321–341.
 7. Jirjees, F., Odeh, M., Al-Haddad, A., Ass'ad, R., Hassanin, Y., Al-Obaidi, H., ... Alzoubi, K. H. (2024). Test anxiety and coping strategies among university students: An exploratory study in the UAE. *Scientific Reports*, 14(1), 25835.
 8. Kumar, A., & Singh, R. (2018). Combined influence of parenting style and peer pressure on academic self-efficacy: Evidence from Uttar Pradesh [Study data as reported in course literature review].
 9. Liu, W., Zhang, R., Wang, H., Rule, A., Wang, M., Abbey, C., ... Tong, L. (2024). Association between anxiety, depression symptoms, and academic burnout among Chinese students: The mediating role of resilience and self-efficacy. *BMC Psychology*, 12(1), 335.
 10. Low, S. (2023). Test anxiety: Prevalence and associated factors among Form Four students in Malaysia [Study data as reported in course literature review].
 11. Malhotra, S., & Bansal, R. (2019). Perceived parenting styles, general self-efficacy, and psychological well-being among adolescents in Delhi [Study data as reported in course literature review].
 12. Menon, K., & Krishnaswamy, S. (2021). Peer pressure, self-efficacy, and academic performance among high school students in Chennai [Study data as reported in course literature review].
 13. Mousavi, S. K., Kamali, M., & Saed, O. (2024). Learning styles and test anxiety in nursing students. *Journal of Education and Health Promotion*, 13(1), 267.
 14. Patel, R., & Mehta, S. (2018). Parenting style, academic autonomy, and self-efficacy among secondary school students in Gujarat [Study data as reported in course literature review].
 15. Piquart, M., & Gerke, D.-C. (2019). Associations of parenting styles with self-esteem in children and adolescents: A meta-analysis. *Child Psychiatry & Human Development*, 50(6), 613–639.
 16. Rauf, K., & Iqbal, N. (2024). Exploring the relationship between test-anxiety, emotional intelligence and academic performance among university students. *Journal of Education and Educational Development*, 11(1), 119–141.
 17. Shahidi, S., Akbari, H., & Zargar, F. (2017). Effectiveness of mindfulness-based stress reduction on emotion regulation and test anxiety in female high school students. *Journal of Education and Health Promotion*, 6(1), 87.
 18. Singh, N., & Singh, P. (2016). Peer pressure and academic self-efficacy among secondary school students in Rajasthan [Study data as reported in course literature review].
 19. Song, J., Chang, L., & Zhou, R. (2022). Effect of test anxiety on visual working memory capacity using evidence from event-related potentials. *Psychophysiology*, 59(2), e13965.
 20. Steinberg, L., Lamborn, S. D., Dornbusch, S. M., & Darling, N. (1992). Impact of parenting practices on adolescent achievement: Authoritative parenting, school involvement, and encouragement to succeed.

Child Development, 63(5), 1266–1281.

21. Symes, W. (2024). Test anxiety, emotional disorders, and student outcomes [Study data as reported in course literature review].
22. Theobald, M., Breitwieser, J., & Brod, G. (2022). Test anxiety does not predict exam performance when knowledge is controlled for: Strong evidence against the interference hypothesis of test anxiety. *Psychological Science*, 33(12), 2073–2083.
23. Wadi, M., Shorbagi, A., Shorbagi, S., Taha, M. H., & Bahri Yusoff, M. S. (2024). The impact of the Systematic Assessment for Resilience (SAR) framework on students' resilience, anxiety, depression, burnout, and academic-related stress: A quasi-experimental study. *BMC Medical Education*, 24(1), 506.
24. Yilmazer, E., Hamamci, Z., & Türk, F. (2024). Effects of mindfulness on test anxiety: A meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15, 1401467.
25. Zheng, G., Zhang, Q., & Ran, G. (2023). The association between academic stress and test anxiety in college students: The mediating role of regulatory emotional self-efficacy and the moderating role of parental expectations. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1008679.