Pedagogy Insights
An International Peer reviewed Journal of Multidisciplinary research

Vol. 1, Issue 3, October 2026, 138–151
ISSN: 3139-843X
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.24186840

Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education: A Qualitative Thematic Analysis of Pedagogical Opportunities, Ethical Concerns and the Changing Role of Teachers

Dr. Tania Sur Roy

Assistant Professor, (Stage-III) Department of Education Assam Don Bosco University tania.roy@dbuniversity.ac.in

Corresponding Author: tania.roy@dbuniversity.ac.in

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.24186840

Abstract

The growing integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into higher education has generated significant opportunities for transforming teaching-learning practices while raising concerns regarding ethics, academic integrity and the changing responsibilities of educators. The present study explores the pedagogical opportunities, ethical challenges and emerging roles of teachers in AI-integrated higher education. Adopting a qualitative documentary research design, the study examines five purposively selected educational policy and institutional documents through thematic analysis informed by Braun and Clarke's six-phase framework. The findings indicate that meaningful AI integration requires more than technological adoption; it necessitates pedagogical preparedness, institutional accountability and sustained human involvement. The study argues that AI should function as a complementary educational resource rather than a substitute for teachers' professional judgement. The paper concludes by highlighting the importance of AI literacy, ethical guidelines, inclusive institutional policies and continuous professional development for promoting responsible AI integration in higher education.

Furthermore, the analysis identifies six interconnected themes: personalised learning and pedagogical transformation, academic integrity, ethical AI governance, changing teacher competencies, digital equity and human-centred educational practices. Particular attention is given to data privacy, algorithmic bias, transparency and accountability as essential considerations in the responsible adoption of AI. The study also emphasises the need to preserve meaningful teacher-student relationships and ensure equitable access to AI-enabled educational resources. It recommends a balanced, ethical and inclusive approach that aligns technological innovation with educational values and learners' diverse needs.

Keywords:
Artificial Intelligence, Higher Education, Thematic Analysis, Teacher Competencies, Educational Ethics.

Manuscript Information
- **Received:** 31-10-2026
- **Accepted:** 05-11-2026
- **Published:** 12-11-2026
- **MRR: 1(3);** 2026: 138–151
- **©2026, All Rights Reserved**
- **Plagiarism Checked:** Yes
- **Peer Review Process:** Yes

How to Cite this Article
Roy, T. S., Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education: A Qualitative Thematic Analysis of Pedagogical Opportunities, Ethical Concerns and the Changing Role of Teachers , *Pedagogy Insights*, 1(3), 138–151
https://pedagogyinsights.com/index.php/files/issue/view/3

Access this Article Online
https://pedagogyinsights.com/

COPYRIGHT: © 2026 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

ISSN: 3139-843X

Introduction

Artificial Intelligence has emerged as an influential technological development in contemporary education. Its increasing application in teaching, learning, assessment and academic administration has encouraged higher educational institutions to reconsider conventional pedagogical practices. AI-enabled applications offer possibilities for personalised instruction, automated feedback, academic assistance and the development of flexible learning environments.

Nevertheless, the growing dependence on intelligent technologies has generated important educational concerns. Questions surrounding academic integrity, algorithmic bias, data privacy, technological inequality and the changing professional identity of teachers require critical consideration.

UNESCO (2023a) emphasises the importance of human-centred approaches to generative AI in education and research. Similarly, its Global Education Monitoring Report highlights the need to examine educational technologies in relation to learners' needs, institutional preparedness and equitable access (UNESCO, 2023b).

In India, the National Education Policy 2020 encourages the integration of emerging technologies and the development of digitally supported educational environments (Ministry of Education, 2020). However, technological integration must be accompanied by appropriate pedagogical and ethical considerations.

Against this background, the present study examines selected educational documents to understand how AI is conceptualised in relation to teaching-learning opportunities, ethical responsibilities and the evolving role of teachers in higher education.

2. Review of Related Literature

The application of AI in education has attracted considerable attention among policymakers, researchers and educational practitioners.

The increasing integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into higher education has generated substantial scholarly interest concerning its pedagogical possibilities, ethical implications and influence on teachers' professional responsibilities. Existing literature presents AI as a potentially transformative educational resource while emphasising the importance of human agency, institutional preparedness and responsible technological adoption.

Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019), in their systematic review of AI applications in higher education, identified four major areas of application: profiling and prediction, intelligent tutoring systems, assessment and evaluation, and adaptive systems and personalisation. Their review highlighted the relatively limited involvement of educators in AI-related research, indicating the need to strengthen the pedagogical dimension of technological innovation.

Crompton and Burke (2023) conducted a systematic review of 138 studies published between 2016 and 2022 to examine the evolving application of AI in higher education. Their findings demonstrated the growing scholarly attention towards AI-supported educational practices and highlighted emerging developments in the field. The study provides an important foundation for understanding the expanding relationship between intelligent technologies and higher education.

Bond et al. (2024), through a meta-systematic review of 66 evidence syntheses, examined the existing research landscape concerning AI in higher education. Their findings identified personalised learning among the prominent benefits of AI integration while emphasising the need for greater methodological rigour, ethical consideration and interdisciplinary collaboration. The authors also highlighted gaps in research concerning postgraduate education and institutional applications of AI.

Labadze et al. (2023) systematically reviewed the role of AI chatbots in education. Their study identified the potential of chatbots to provide immediate learning assistance, explanations and additional educational resources. The authors also discussed their relevance as virtual teaching assistants, suggesting that AI-supported communication can complement conventional instructional practices.

Crompton and Burke (2024), in a subsequent review focusing on ChatGPT, examined 44 peer-reviewed studies to identify its educational affordances and challenges. Their findings

COPYRIGHT: © 2026 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

indicated opportunities for teaching support, task automation and professional development. The study further reinforced the need to examine the educational limitations accompanying the increasing use of generative AI.

Ethical considerations constitute another significant dimension of existing scholarship. Memarian and Doleck (2023) systematically examined fairness, accountability, transparency and ethics in AI-related higher education research. Their work demonstrates the importance of evaluating AI applications beyond technological efficiency, particularly in relation to responsible institutional practices.

Similarly, Mouta et al. (2024) examined ethical concerns in AI-supported education through a systematic literature review. Their work contributes to the understanding of ethical challenges and areas requiring greater attention in educational AI research.

At the policy level, UNESCO (2023a) emphasises a human-centred approach to generative AI in education and research, highlighting the importance of responsible adoption, data protection and educational accountability. The UNESCO Global Education Monitoring Report (2023b) further draws attention to the relationship between educational technology, equity, accessibility and teacher preparedness.

The AI Competency Framework for Teachers developed by UNESCO (2024) extends these discussions by identifying essential competencies required for responsible AI integration. The framework recognises human-centred thinking, AI ethics, foundational knowledge, AI pedagogy and professional learning as important dimensions of teachers' professional development.

Within the Indian context, the National Education Policy 2020 encourages technological innovation and digital transformation while recognising the importance of quality, equity and accessibility in education.

Collectively, these studies and institutional documents indicate that AI integration in higher education cannot be understood exclusively through technological advancement. Rather, its educational significance emerges through the interaction of pedagogical innovation, ethical responsibility, professional competence and institutional support.

2.1 Research Gap

The reviewed literature provides substantial evidence concerning AI applications, technological opportunities and emerging ethical challenges. However, the relationship between pedagogical transformation, teacher competencies, educational inclusion and human-centred governance warrants further integrated examination.

The present study therefore undertakes a qualitative thematic analysis of selected institutional and policy documents to explore these interconnected dimensions within a common analytical framework. Unlike studies examining the effectiveness of individual AI applications, the present investigation focuses on the broader educational conditions necessary for responsible AI integration in higher education.

3. Objectives of the Study

1. To explore the pedagogical opportunities associated with AI integration in higher education.
2. To identify major ethical and institutional concerns surrounding AI-enabled educational practices.
3. To examine the changing competencies and professional responsibilities of teachers in AI-integrated learning environments.

4. Methodology

4.1-Research Design

The study adopts a qualitative documentary research design grounded in an interpretivist orientation. Documentary research enables the systematic examination of existing textual materials to identify patterns, meanings and underlying educational concerns.

4.2-Sources of Data

Five publicly available documents were purposively selected on the basis of their relevance to AI, educational technology, teacher competencies and ethical governance.

COPYRIGHT: © 2026 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Table no 4.3: Depicting the sources of data

Code	Selected document
D1	UNESCO Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence (2021)
D2	UNESCO Guidance for Generative AI in Education and Research (2023)
D3	UNESCO Global Education Monitoring Report: Technology in Education (2023)
D4	UNESCO AI Competency Framework for Teachers (2024)
D5	National Education Policy 2020, Government of India

These documents constitute the secondary-data corpus. They were selected for their institutional authority and relevance rather than statistical representativeness.

4.3-Method of Data Analysis

The study employed thematic analysis informed by Braun and Clarke (2006).

The analytical procedure was organised into six phases:

1. Familiarisation with the selected documents.
2. Identification of meaningful textual units.
3. Generation of preliminary codes.
4. Organisation of related codes into candidate themes.
5. Review and refinement of themes.
6. Interpretation and presentation of the thematic findings.

The analysis focused on recurring educational concerns and conceptual relationships across the selected documents.

The resulting themes represent an interpretive synthesis of documentary content, not statistical findings or reported experiences of human participants.

4.4: Ethical Concerns in AI-Integrated Higher Education

The integration of Artificial Intelligence into higher education raises significant ethical concerns that extend beyond academic misconduct to include data privacy, algorithmic bias, transparency, accountability and the preservation of human agency. These concerns are particularly important because educational decisions influence students' learning opportunities, academic progress and future prospects. UNESCO (2021) emphasises the importance of human rights, fairness, transparency and accountability in the ethical development and application of AI. Similarly, UNESCO (2023a) highlights the need for appropriate safeguards when generative AI is introduced into educational and research environments.

One major concern relates to academic integrity and authorship. Generative AI applications can produce essays, assignments, summaries and other academic materials, making it increasingly difficult to distinguish between independent student work and AI-assisted production. Although AI can support brainstorming, language improvement and conceptual understanding, undisclosed or inappropriate reliance on these tools may compromise originality and the validity of assessment. Consequently, institutions need clear guidelines defining acceptable AI assistance, disclosure requirements and the responsibilities of students and teachers. Assessment practices should also emphasise reasoning, reflection, application and the demonstration of individual understanding.

A second concern involves data privacy and informed consent. AI-enabled educational platforms may process student information, learning histories, assessment records and personal inputs. If such information is collected, stored or shared without adequate safeguards, students' privacy and autonomy may be compromised. Institutions must therefore evaluate the data practices of AI platforms, communicate relevant privacy requirements and ensure that sensitive educational information is handled responsibly.

A third concern is algorithmic bias and unequal treatment. AI systems may generate inaccurate, stereotypical or culturally inappropriate outputs because of limitations in their training data and design. In diverse educational settings, these limitations may affect the representation of different languages, cultures and social experiences. AI-generated feedback or recommendations should therefore not be accepted uncritically, particularly when they influence assessment, student support or academic decision-making.

COPYRIGHT: © 2026 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

The issue of transparency and accountability is equally significant. Students and teachers should be able to understand when AI is being used, what role it plays in educational decisions and who remains responsible for the outcomes. Automated systems should not replace professional judgement in consequential decisions concerning student performance, progression or educational opportunities. Teachers and institutions must retain responsibility for evaluating the accuracy, relevance and fairness of AI-generated outputs.

Finally, excessive dependence on AI may affect students' development of independent reasoning, problem-solving and reflective judgement. If learners routinely accept machine-generated answers without verification, the educational process may become focused on obtaining quick responses rather than developing deeper understanding. Responsible AI integration therefore requires a balance between technological assistance and sustained intellectual engagement.

Taken together, these concerns indicate that ethical AI integration requires more than general recommendations for responsible use. It demands transparent institutional policies, appropriate data protection, fair assessment practices, critical AI literacy and continuing human oversight. Ethical responsibility must consequently be treated as a central dimension of educational quality rather than an additional consideration introduced after technological adoption.

5. Findings and Thematic Analysis

The documentary analysis was organised around five interconnected themes. These themes capture the principal opportunities, concerns and institutional responsibilities associated with AI integration in education.

Table 1. Thematic Coding Framework

Preliminary codes	**Subthemes**	**Final themes**	**Supporting documents**
Adaptive learning, personalised feedback, instructional support, pedagogical innovation	AI-supported teaching and learning	Theme 1: Personalised Learning and Pedagogical Transformation	D2, D3, D5
AI-generated plagiarism, undisclosed AI assistance, originality, authorship attribution, academic honesty	Academic integrity and responsible authorship	Theme 2: Academic Integrity and Ethical Accountability	D1, D2
Data collection, student information, privacy protection, informed consent, data security	Data privacy and informed consent	Theme 3: Ethical Risks and Responsible AI Governance	D1, D2
Algorithmic bias, discriminatory outputs, cultural representation, fairness, unequal treatment	Algorithmic fairness and inclusion	Theme 3: Ethical Risks and Responsible AI Governance	D1, D2, D3
Transparency of AI use, explainability, disclosure, responsibility for AI-generated outputs, human oversight	Transparency and institutional accountability	Theme 3: Ethical Risks and Responsible AI Governance	D1, D2, D4
AI literacy, technological competence, pedagogical preparedness, professional development	Teacher competencies for responsible AI integration	Theme 4: Changing Teacher Competencies	D3, D4
Internet accessibility, device availability, digital literacy, socio-economic disparities, inclusive access	Digital equity and educational inclusion	Theme 5: Equity and Digital Inclusion	D1, D3, D5
Human judgement, teacher-student relationships, institutional policies, ethical decision-making, responsible use	Human-centred educational practices and governance	Theme 6: Human-Centred Educational Governance	D1, D2, D4

Source: Researcher's proposed analytical framework, developed with reference to the selected policy and institutional documents.

COPYRIGHT: © 2026 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

The coding framework was designed to capture both the pedagogical opportunities and the ethical challenges associated with Artificial Intelligence in higher education. Preliminary codes were organised into related subthemes and broader analytical themes to facilitate systematic examination of the selected documents. Particular attention was given to AI-generated plagiarism, authorship attribution, data privacy, informed consent, algorithmic bias, transparency and accountability. These codes enabled the analysis to move beyond the general benefits of AI integration and examine the ethical responsibilities of students, teachers and educational institutions. The coding framework served as an initial analytical structure, with the final themes requiring verification and refinement through close engagement with the documentary evidence. The document codes D1–D5 were used to identify the selected sources and support traceability during the analysis.

5. Findings and Thematic Analysis

The thematic analysis examined five selected policy and institutional documents to explore the pedagogical opportunities, ethical concerns and changing professional responsibilities associated with Artificial Intelligence (AI) in higher education. Following the analytical approach outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006), the initial codes were organised into related subthemes and subsequently developed into six overarching themes. These themes represent interconnected dimensions of AI integration rather than isolated categories. Collectively, they demonstrate that the educational implications of AI extend beyond technological innovation to encompass academic integrity, ethical governance, teacher preparedness and educational inclusion.

5.1 Theme 1: Personalised Learning and Pedagogical Transformation

The first theme concerns the potential of AI to facilitate personalised learning and transform established teaching-learning practices. The selected documents suggest that educational technologies can support the development of flexible learning environments, provide additional learning resources and assist educators in responding to diverse learner requirements (Ministry of Education, 2020; UNESCO, 2023a, 2023b).

AI-enabled applications can help learners access explanations, receive preliminary feedback and explore concepts at their own pace. For teachers, these applications may support lesson preparation, the development of instructional materials and the design of differentiated learning activities. Such possibilities are particularly relevant in higher education, where students may differ in their prior knowledge, learning preferences and levels of academic preparedness.

However, personalised technological assistance should not automatically be equated with effective learning. AI-generated responses may contain inaccuracies, lack contextual sensitivity or provide explanations that do not adequately address learners' conceptual difficulties. Consequently, teachers must evaluate the relevance and accuracy of AI-generated materials before incorporating them into instructional practices.

The analysis therefore suggests that pedagogical transformation depends not simply on the availability of AI tools but on their purposeful integration with curriculum objectives, assessment strategies and meaningful teacher-student interaction. AI is most appropriately understood as a complementary resource that can extend pedagogical possibilities while preserving the teacher's responsibility for instructional quality.

5.2 Theme 2: Academic Integrity and Ethical Accountability

Academic integrity emerged as a central concern in relation to the growing use of generative AI. The ability of AI applications to produce essays, summaries, assignments and research-related text challenges conventional understandings of originality, authorship and independent academic work. UNESCO (2023a) identifies the need for appropriate guidance and safeguards when generative AI is used in educational and research settings.

The preliminary codes associated with this theme include AI-generated plagiarism, undisclosed AI assistance, authorship attribution and academic honesty. These concepts are related

COPYRIGHT: © 2026 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

but should not be treated as interchangeable. AI-assisted writing does not necessarily constitute plagiarism; the ethical implications depend on the nature of the assistance, institutional regulations, disclosure requirements and the manner in which the resulting work is represented.

A particular concern arises when students submit AI-generated material as evidence of independent understanding without critically evaluating or acknowledging the assistance received. Such practices may weaken the validity of assessment and make it difficult for educators to determine whether students have achieved the intended learning outcomes.

Authorship presents a related challenge. Academic authors remain responsible for the accuracy, originality and integrity of submitted work, including material developed with AI assistance. AI-generated references, unsupported claims and fabricated information must therefore be checked carefully. Institutions should establish transparent policies explaining permissible AI use, disclosure expectations and the consequences of academic misconduct.

The analysis indicates that maintaining academic integrity requires more than detecting AI-generated text. It calls for assessment approaches that value critical reasoning, reflective engagement, subject knowledge and the ability to defend one's arguments. Oral examinations, process-based assignments and reflective accounts may complement written submissions in evaluating authentic learning.

5.3 Theme 3: Ethical Risks and Responsible AI Governance

This theme brings together concerns relating to data privacy, informed consent, algorithmic bias, transparency and accountability. These dimensions are essential to evaluating whether AI applications are appropriate for educational environments.

5.3.1 Data Privacy and Informed Consent

AI-enabled educational platforms may process personal information, academic records, learning activities and prompts entered by students or teachers. Where such information is collected or retained without adequate safeguards, questions arise concerning privacy, confidentiality and the responsible use of educational data.

The UNESCO Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence (2021) emphasises the importance of human rights, privacy and appropriate governance in the development and application of AI. Applied to higher education, these principles highlight the need for institutions to examine the data practices of technological providers before adopting AI-enabled systems.

Informed consent is particularly relevant when students are asked to use platforms that collect personal or learning-related information. Students should receive clear explanations of what information may be collected, how it may be used and what choices are available to them. Institutions should also consider whether students can complete learning activities through alternative means when the use of a particular AI platform is not essential.

The analytical implication is that access to AI tools should not be pursued at the expense of students' informational autonomy. Privacy protection and transparent data practices must form part of institutional planning rather than being treated as technical matters alone.

5.3.2 Algorithmic Bias and Fairness

Algorithmic bias represents another important ethical concern. AI systems may reproduce limitations or biases present in their training data and may generate responses that inadequately represent particular languages, cultures, communities or perspectives.

In educational settings, such limitations can influence the relevance of learning materials, the quality of feedback and the representation of knowledge. Students working in multilingual or culturally diverse environments may encounter outputs that privilege dominant linguistic or cultural perspectives. The quality and appropriateness of AI-generated responses may also vary according to the subject matter and the system being used.

The UNESCO Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence (2021) provides a relevant normative foundation for examining fairness and non-discrimination. From an

COPYRIGHT: © 2026 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

educational perspective, the implications extend to the need for teachers to critically review AI-generated content and avoid treating algorithmic outputs as inherently neutral or authoritative.

The analysis therefore highlights the importance of contextual evaluation. Teachers should consider whose knowledge is represented, which perspectives may be absent and whether AI-generated materials are appropriate for the learners concerned. Such critical scrutiny is particularly important when technologies are used in assessment or other consequential educational decisions.

5.3.3 Transparency and Accountability

Transparency concerns whether users understand the role of AI in producing educational content or informing decisions. Accountability concerns who is responsible when AI-generated outputs are inaccurate, unfair or otherwise inappropriate.

In higher education, these issues arise when students use AI to prepare assignments, teachers employ AI to develop instructional materials or institutions introduce automated systems into academic administration. Unclear expectations may create uncertainty concerning acceptable practices and responsibility for errors.

The UNESCO guidance on generative AI in education and research (2023a) supports a cautious and human-centred approach to its educational application. Accordingly, institutions should communicate expectations concerning the disclosure of AI assistance, the verification of generated information and the responsibilities of users.

AI systems should not be assumed to possess the contextual understanding or professional judgement required for all educational decisions. Teachers and institutions must retain appropriate oversight, especially where decisions affect assessment, progression or student opportunities.

Taken together, these ethical dimensions indicate that responsible AI governance requires clearly communicated policies, appropriate safeguards, critical evaluation and identifiable human responsibility. Ethical governance is therefore integral to educational quality and institutional trust.

5.4 Theme 4: Changing Teacher Competencies

The fourth theme concerns the competencies teachers require to work effectively and responsibly in AI-integrated educational environments. The UNESCO AI Competency Framework for Teachers (2024) provides a useful foundation for understanding these emerging professional requirements.

The preliminary codes include AI literacy, technological competence, pedagogical preparedness and professional development. These indicate that effective AI integration requires more than familiarity with digital applications. Teachers must understand the capabilities and limitations of AI, recognise potentially inaccurate or biased outputs and make informed decisions about when its use is educationally appropriate.

The changing professional role of teachers is especially significant. Teachers increasingly need to guide students in verifying information, acknowledging AI assistance and using technological resources without surrendering independent judgement. They must also consider how AI-supported activities align with course outcomes, assessment requirements and the developmental needs of learners.

These responsibilities strengthen rather than eliminate the importance of professional judgement. AI may assist in preparing learning materials or generating preliminary feedback, but teachers remain responsible for contextualising content, addressing learner difficulties and maintaining meaningful educational relationships.

The analysis also points towards the need for sustained professional development. One-time training sessions may be insufficient when AI applications and associated ethical questions continue to evolve. Institutions should provide opportunities for teachers to explore educational applications, discuss ethical dilemmas and share pedagogical experiences.

Teacher preparedness thus emerges as a necessary condition for responsible AI integration. Without adequate professional support, technological adoption may remain superficial or create additional difficulties for educators and students.

5.5 Theme 5: Equity and Digital Inclusion

The fifth theme examines the relationship between AI

COPYRIGHT: © 2026 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

integration and equitable participation in higher education. Although AI-enabled applications may expand access to learning resources, their benefits depend partly on students' access to suitable devices, reliable connectivity, digital competence and appropriate institutional support.

The UNESCO Global Education Monitoring Report (2023b) draws attention to the importance of examining educational technology in relation to accessibility, educational context and equity. Similarly, India's National Education Policy 2020 situates educational innovation within broader concerns regarding quality and inclusion.

Students who have reliable internet access and greater familiarity with digital technologies may be better positioned to benefit from AI-supported learning. Conversely, students facing financial constraints, limited connectivity or inadequate technological support may encounter additional barriers. Differences in language proficiency and familiarity with AI applications may also influence students' capacity to evaluate and use generated information effectively.

The analysis suggests that technological availability alone cannot guarantee educational inclusion. Institutions need to consider the practical conditions under which students access digital learning opportunities. This may involve providing institutional resources, offering AI literacy support and ensuring that essential learning activities remain accessible to students with different technological circumstances.

Equity also requires attention to the suitability of AI-generated content for diverse educational and cultural contexts. Students should not be disadvantaged because a system performs poorly with their preferred language or fails to recognise relevant contextual knowledge.

The central implication is that AI integration should be evaluated not only in terms of efficiency and innovation but also in terms of who benefits, who encounters barriers and what institutional measures are available to address those barriers.

5.6 Theme 6: Human-Centred Educational Governance

The final theme integrates the preceding findings by emphasising the importance of human agency, institutional policies and responsible educational decision-making. The UNESCO Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence (2021) and the guidance on generative AI in education and research (UNESCO, 2023a) provide relevant foundations for a human-centred approach.

AI systems can assist with educational tasks, but their outputs require critical interpretation. Educational decisions involve contextual knowledge, professional responsibility and an understanding of students' circumstances. These considerations cannot be adequately addressed through technological efficiency alone.

Human-centred governance therefore requires institutions to establish clear procedures for selecting AI tools, protecting data, communicating acceptable use and reviewing the consequences of AI-supported practices. Such procedures should involve teachers and other relevant stakeholders rather than treating technological adoption solely as an administrative or technical decision.

This theme also emphasises the importance of preserving human interaction in teaching-learning processes. Educational relationships involve empathy, encouragement, dialogue and responsiveness to individual circumstances. While AI may supplement certain forms of support, it should not diminish opportunities for meaningful engagement between teachers and students.

A further implication concerns institutional accountability. Policies should specify who is responsible for evaluating AI-generated materials, addressing complaints and reviewing the educational consequences of AI use. Periodic evaluation is also necessary to determine whether adopted systems contribute to learning objectives and remain appropriate for the institution's context.

Human-centred governance thus provides an integrating principle for the other five themes. It connects pedagogical innovation with ethical responsibility, professional preparedness and educational inclusion, ensuring that AI adoption remains directed towards meaningful learning and student development.

5.7 Synthesis of the Themes

COPYRIGHT: © 2026 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

The six themes collectively indicate that AI integration in higher education is a multidimensional process involving educational opportunities, ethical risks and institutional responsibilities. Personalised learning and pedagogical transformation represent potential benefits, while academic integrity and ethical governance identify conditions that must be addressed for those benefits to be realised responsibly.

Changing teacher competencies and digital inclusion further demonstrate that the outcomes of AI adoption depend on professional preparedness and equitable access. Human-centred educational governance brings these dimensions together by emphasising transparency, institutional accountability and the preservation of professional judgement.

The thematic synthesis suggests that responsible AI integration cannot be assessed solely by the availability or frequency of technological use. It must also be considered in relation to educational purpose, fairness, academic integrity, data protection and the quality of human engagement. These findings provide a conceptual basis for discussing how higher educational institutions can integrate AI while maintaining their commitments to academic standards, inclusion and meaningful learning.

6. Discussion

The present study examined the pedagogical opportunities, ethical concerns and changing professional responsibilities associated with Artificial Intelligence (AI) in higher education through a qualitative analysis of selected policy and institutional documents. The six themes developed through the analysis indicate that AI integration is not simply a technological development but a broader educational process involving pedagogical transformation, academic integrity, ethical governance, teacher competencies, educational equity and human agency. The discussion interprets these interconnected themes in relation to existing scholarship and considers their implications for responsible AI integration in higher education.

The first theme, personalised learning and pedagogical transformation, reflects the potential of AI to support flexible instructional practices, provide additional learning resources and facilitate timely feedback. These possibilities are consistent with Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019), who identified adaptive systems, intelligent tutoring and assessment among the established areas of AI application in higher education. However, the educational value of such applications depends on their pedagogical appropriateness and the quality of their implementation. Technological efficiency does not necessarily guarantee meaningful learning. AI-generated explanations may contain inaccuracies or fail to recognise learners' contextual needs. Consequently, effective integration requires teachers to evaluate technological outputs and align their use with curricular objectives and students' learning requirements.

The second and third themes, academic integrity and ethical risks, highlight the need to reconsider established academic practices in the context of generative AI. The capacity of AI systems to produce academic text raises questions concerning originality, authorship, attribution and the assessment of independent learning. However, AI assistance should not automatically be equated with plagiarism; the ethical status of its use depends on institutional rules, disclosure expectations and the manner in which the resulting work is presented. These concerns reinforce the importance of developing transparent academic policies that distinguish acceptable assistance from misrepresentation. UNESCO's (2023a) guidance similarly emphasises the importance of appropriate safeguards and human responsibility in educational uses of generative AI.

Ethical responsibility extends beyond academic integrity to encompass data privacy, informed consent, algorithmic bias, transparency and accountability. The UNESCO Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence (2021) provides an important framework for considering fairness, human rights and responsible governance. In educational contexts, these principles imply that institutions should scrutinise data practices, communicate the implications of platform use and examine the reliability and fairness of AI-generated outputs. The discussion also highlights the need to retain human oversight in consequential educational decisions. Although AI may assist with assessment preparation or academic administration, responsibility for evaluating its

COPYRIGHT: © 2026 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

outputs and addressing adverse consequences must remain clearly assigned. Ethical governance should therefore be integrated into institutional planning rather than treated as a supplementary consideration after technology has been adopted.

The fourth theme, changing teacher competencies, demonstrates that responsible AI integration requires continuing professional development. UNESCO's (2024) AI Competency Framework for Teachers emphasises the importance of developing educators' capacity to engage with AI in human-centred, ethical and pedagogically appropriate ways. Teachers require more than operational familiarity with digital applications; they need the ability to assess AI-generated information critically, recognise potential biases, guide students towards responsible use and redesign learning activities where necessary. This development should not be interpreted as diminishing the importance of teachers. Rather, it expands their responsibilities as facilitators of critical inquiry, ethical judgement and meaningful learning. Institutional investment in professional development is therefore essential if AI is to support educational improvement rather than introduce additional pedagogical difficulties.

The fifth theme, equity and digital inclusion, draws attention to the unequal conditions under which students encounter educational technologies. The UNESCO Global Education Monitoring Report (2023b) cautions against assuming that technological adoption automatically improves educational quality. Differences in connectivity, device availability, digital literacy and institutional support may influence students' capacity to benefit from AI-enabled learning. In the Indian higher education context, these considerations are particularly relevant to inclusive educational development and the objectives of the National Education Policy 2020 (Ministry of Education, 2020). Institutions must therefore evaluate not only whether AI tools are available but also whether students can access and use them meaningfully. Inclusive implementation requires appropriate technological support, AI literacy initiatives and alternatives where access to particular platforms cannot reasonably be assumed.

The sixth theme, human-centred educational governance, brings together the pedagogical, ethical and institutional dimensions identified throughout the analysis. AI can support educational activities, but its outputs require interpretation within the social, cultural and academic contexts in which learning occurs. Teachers contribute professional judgement, empathy, contextual understanding and interpersonal engagement, while institutions establish the standards and safeguards governing educational practice. These functions cannot be reduced to technological performance alone. Human-centred governance consequently requires clear institutional policies, transparent responsibilities, periodic evaluation and meaningful participation by educators and other stakeholders. It also requires preserving opportunities for dialogue, reflection and independent reasoning rather than allowing automated assistance to dominate educational interactions.

Taken together, the six themes suggest that responsible AI integration requires a coordinated approach in which pedagogical innovation is balanced with ethical accountability, teacher preparedness and educational inclusion. The themes are mutually dependent: personalised learning requires reliable and fair technological outputs; ethical implementation depends on informed and competent users; and equitable access requires institutional support and appropriate governance. The contribution of the present study lies in bringing these dimensions together within a common documentary framework. Rather than presenting AI as either an uncomplicated educational solution or an inherently harmful technology, the analysis supports a more critical position in which its educational value depends on how, why and under what conditions it is used. Higher educational institutions should therefore prioritise responsible experimentation, evidence-informed decision-making and continuous evaluation to ensure that AI contributes to meaningful learning while safeguarding academic standards, human agency and educational inclusion.

7. Educational Implications

The findings offer several implications for higher educational institutions.

COPYRIGHT: © 2026 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

- First, institutions should incorporate AI literacy into faculty development programmes. Such initiatives should address not only the operation of AI applications but also their limitations, ethical implications and pedagogical relevance.
- Second, assessment practices require reconsideration. Greater emphasis may be placed on reflective assignments, authentic assessment, oral presentations and activities demonstrating independent understanding.
- Third, institutional guidelines should clearly communicate acceptable and unacceptable applications of AI in academic work.
- Fourth, educational administrators should promote equitable access to digital resources and provide appropriate support for students experiencing technological disadvantages.
- Higher educational institutions should formulate clear guidelines for the responsible use of AI, covering academic authorship, disclosure of AI assistance, data protection, algorithmic bias and accountability. Institutional policies should also promote equitable access to AI-enabled learning resources, ensuring that students from diverse socio-economic and technological backgrounds have appropriate opportunities to benefit from emerging educational technologies.
- Finally, teachers should be encouraged to adopt a critically reflective approach to AI integration, ensuring that technological applications complement rather than diminish meaningful teacher-student interaction.

8. Limitations of the Study

- The study is limited to five purposively selected policy and institutional documents. Consequently, its findings represent an interpretive documentary synthesis rather than empirical evidence of actual classroom practices.
- The study does not examine the experiences of teachers or students directly, nor does it measure the effectiveness of particular AI applications.
- Future research may incorporate interviews, classroom observations and mixed-method investigations to examine how the identified themes manifest in different higher educational contexts.

9. Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence presents significant opportunities for transforming higher education by introducing innovative approaches to teaching, learning, assessment and academic engagement. However, its growing integration also brings forth complex pedagogical, ethical and institutional responsibilities that demand critical attention. The present documentary analysis identified five interconnected themes, namely personalised learning and pedagogical transformation, academic integrity and ethical accountability, changing teacher competencies, equity and digital inclusion, and human-centred educational governance. These themes collectively demonstrate that the educational potential of AI extends beyond technological efficiency and must be understood within the broader context of educational quality, inclusivity and professional responsibility. The findings further indicate that meaningful AI integration requires a balanced and context-sensitive approach in which technological advancement is complemented by pedagogical preparedness, ethical awareness, institutional accountability and equitable access to digital resources. Of particular significance is the evolving role of teachers, whose responsibilities increasingly encompass facilitating critical engagement with AI-generated content, promoting independent thinking, ensuring academic integrity and guiding learners towards responsible technological practices. Rather than conceptualising AI as a replacement for teachers, the study underscores its potential as a complementary educational resource that can enhance teaching-learning experiences while preserving the indispensable role of human judgement, creativity, empathy and meaningful pedagogical interaction. The study therefore advocates sustained professional development, clearly articulated institutional guidelines and inclusive educational policies to support the responsible adoption of AI in higher education. Ultimately, the

COPYRIGHT: © 2026 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

future of AI-integrated higher education should be guided not merely by the extent of technological adoption but by its capacity to promote meaningful learning, strengthen teachers' professional capabilities and empower students to become critically aware, ethically responsible and independent learners in an increasingly technology-driven educational environment.

References

1. Bond, M., Khosravi, H., De Laat, M., Bergdahl, N., Negrea, V., Oxley, E., Pham, P., Chong, S. W., & Siemens, G. (2024). A meta systematic review of artificial intelligence in higher education: A call for increased ethics, collaboration, and rigour. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 21, Article 4. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00436-z
2. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, *3*(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa
3. Crompton, H., & Burke, D. (2023). Artificial intelligence in higher education: The state of the field. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 20, Article 22. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00392-8
4. Crompton, H., & Burke, D. (2024). The educational affordances and challenges of ChatGPT: State of the field. TechTrends, 68, 380–392. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-024-00939-0
5. Labadze, L., Grigolia, M., & Machaidze, L. (2023). Role of AI chatbots in education: Systematic literature review. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 20, Article 56. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00426-1
6. Memarian, B., & Doleck, T. (2023). Fairness, accountability, transparency, and ethics (FATE) in artificial intelligence (AI) and higher education: A systematic review. Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 5, Article 100152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100152
7. Ministry of Education. (2020). *National education policy 2020*. Government of India. https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Final_English_0.pdf
8. Mouta, A., Pinto-Llorente, A. M., & Torrecilla-Sánchez, E. M. (2024). Uncovering blind spots in education ethics: Insights from a systematic literature review on artificial intelligence in education. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 34, 1166–1205. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-023-00384-9
9. UNESCO. (2021). *Recommendation on the ethics of artificial intelligence*. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000381137
10. UNESCO. (2023a). *Guidance for generative AI in education and research*. https://doi.org/10.54675/EWZM9535
11. UNESCO. (2023b). *Global education monitoring report 2023: Technology in education—A tool on whose terms?* https://doi.org/10.54676/UZQV8501
12. UNESCO. (2024). *AI competency framework for teachers*. https://doi.org/10.54675/ZJTE2084
13. Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education—Where are the educators? International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16, Article 39. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0

COPYRIGHT: © 2026 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

ISSN: 3139-843X

Creative Commons License

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

About the Author

Dr. Tania Sur Roy Assistant Professor (Stage-III) Department of Education Assam Don Bosco University tania.roy@dbuniversity.ac.in

COPYRIGHT: © 2026 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/